United States Court of AppealsFOR THE EIGHTH CIRCUIT

| | No. 05-43 | 366 |
|---|-----------|--|
| United States of America, | * | |
| Appellee, v. Richard Orville Norris, II, | * | Appeal from the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Arkansas. |
| Appellant. | * | [UNPUBLISHED] |
| Submitted: March 5, 2007 Filed: March 6, 2007 | | |

Before WOLLMAN, MURPHY, and BYE, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM.

Richard Norris, II, pleaded guilty to distributing child pornography. <u>See</u> 18 U.S.C. § 2252(a)(1), (b)(1) (imprisonment range of 15-40 years). Because he was subject to a 15-year statutory minimum, his Guidelines sentence was 180 months. <u>See</u> U.S.S.G. § 5G1.1(b). After considering the advisory Guidelines and the 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a) factors, the district court¹ sentenced Norris to 240 months in prison and 5 years of supervised release. On appeal, his counsel has filed a brief under <u>Anders v. California</u>, 386 U.S. 738 (1967), and seeks leave to withdraw.

Appellate Case: 05-4366 Page: 1 Date Filed: 03/06/2007 Entry ID: 3285292

¹The Honorable Susan Webber Wright, United States District Judge for the Eastern District of Arkansas.

In light of the justification the district court offered for Norris's sentence-including his relatively young age and repeat-offender status, the likelihood he would commit another sex crime, the need for deterrence and protection of the public, and his need for intensive treatment--we conclude that the sentence is not unreasonable. See <u>United States v. Booker</u>, 543 U.S. 220 (2005) (requiring review for unreasonableness).

After reviewing the record independently under <u>Penson v. Ohio</u>, 488 U.S. 75, 80 (1988), we have found no nonfrivolous issues for appeal. Accordingly, we grant counsel leave to withdraw, and we affirm the judgment of the district court.

-2-